



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand 1Tonne


Model Ranger Touring
Type Pants - Textile
Date purchased 22 May 2023
Sizes tested XL and 2XL
Test garment gender Male
Style Tourer
RRP \$165.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	15.5
Abrasion	1/10	0.48
Burst	10/10	1313
Impact	1/10	0.0
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	+	0.100
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	182.3
Thermal Resistance	-	0.303
Water resistance	9/10	1.1

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the knees. Pockets are provided at the hips for fitting aftermarket impact protectors. Replacing the knee armour with higher performing impact protectors and adding hip impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are zipped vents in the front of the knee to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened. Breathability was measured without the removable thermal liner installed.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.48

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	50%		0.89	0.70	0.81		0.70	0.78
Material B	50%	0.70	0.50	0.52	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.53
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.70	0.50	0.52	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.53
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.70	0.50	0.52	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.53

Details of materials used in pant

Material A	Woven fabric shell, foam layer, water-resistant layer and fabric inner liner
Material B	Woven fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance

Burst rating 10/10
Burst score 1313

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1522	1425	1331	1371	1191	1340	1363	G
Zones 3 & 4	974	1365	434	1121	1356	1412	1110	G



Impact Protection

These pants were tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance Impact rating 1/10

Impact score

0.0

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee	Hip
Average force (kN)	31.0 P	P
Maximum force (kN)	39.9 P	P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	105%	0%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	60%	0%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Knee			Hip	No impact pro	tector present
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	23.8	39.9	35.0			
Impact Protector 2	23.9	22.3	35.5			
Impact Protector 3	39.4	29.7	29.5			



Breathability

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable I	iners	With	n water-resist	ant liner
Breathability rating		Brea	thability rating	N/A
Breathability score	0.100	Brea	thability score	N/A
Moisture Vapour Resis	stance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liner	'S	178.5	186.2	182.3
With water-resistant line	er	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance -	R _{ct} (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liner	'S	0.308	0.298	0.303
With water-resistant line	er	N/A	N/A	N/A

Water spray and rain resistance

This pants are advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbe	ed by garment	Water absorbed by underwear		
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	
Pants 1	323	27%	5.8	2.2%	
Pants 2	246	20%	0.0	0.0%	
Average	284	23%	2.9	1.1%	

Location of wetting

There was no visible wetting to the cotton underwear for either pants tested.

Assessment Details.

Brand 1Tonne

Model Ranger Touring
Type Pants - Textile
Date purchased 22 May 2023

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University Report approved by MotoCAP Chief Scientist

Garment test reference P23T09
Rating first published August 2023
Rating updated 9 August 2023