



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand Held

Model Imola Flash
Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 20 February 2023

Sizes tested XL
Test garment gender Male
Style Sports
RRP \$900.00


Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	**	38.1
Abrasion	2/10	1.55
Burst	10/10	1474
Impact	7/10	52.1
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	*	0.170
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	144.4
Thermal Resistance	-	0.409
Water resistance	6/10	10.0

motocap.com.au

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows and shoulders. A pocket is provided for an aftermarket back protector. There are zipped vents in the arms, chest and back to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened. Breathability was measured without the removable thermal liner installed.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	2/10
Abrasion score	1.55

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	100%	0.79	2.28	2.55	1.66	1.34	1.09	1.62	M
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	80%	0.79	2.28	2.55	1.66	1.34	1.09	1.62	М
Material B	20%	0.59	0.61	0.51	0.61	0.55	0.58	0.57	Р
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Material A	90%	0.79	2.28	2.55	1.66	1.34	1.09	1.62	G
Material B	10%	0.59	0.61	0.51	0.61	0.55	0.58	0.57	М

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Woven fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner
Material B	Stretch fabric shell, water-resistant layer and mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength	Performance
D	40/40

Burst rating 10/10 Burst score 1474

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	1591	1598	1721	1273	1721	1335	1540	G
Zones 3 & 4	1742	899	1301	1093	1181	1035	1209	G



Impact Protection

The jacket was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating	7/10
Impact score	52.1

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder
Average force (kN)	11.7	G	13.5 G
Maximum force (kN)	22.1	A	19.3 A
Coverage of Zone 1 area	130%	<u> </u>	85%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	100%		85%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Elbow	Shoulder				
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	9.6	11.6	14.2	11.8	12.2	15.2
Impact Protector 2	8.3	11.0	22.1	12.0	11.8	14.9
Impact Protector 3	8.9	8.8	11.2	10.4	13.6	19.3



Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable liners		With water-resistant liner		
*	Breathability rating N/A		N/A	
0.170	Breathability score N/A			
stance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
S	145.6	143.1	144.4	
er	N/A	N/A	N/A	
R _{ct} (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
S	0.405	0.413	0.409	
er	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	*	★ Brea 0.170 Brea stance - Ret (kPa.m²/W) 1 rs 145.6 er N/A Rct (K.m²/W) 1 rs 0.405	★ Breathability rating Breathability score 0.170 Breathability score stance - Ret (kPa.m²/W) 1 2 rs 145.6 143.1 N/A N/A Rct (K.m²/W) 1 2 rs 0.405 0.413	

Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbe	ed by garment	Water absorbed by underwear		
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	
Jacket 1	435	23%	21	7%	
Jacket 2	508	27%	36	12%	
Average	472	25%	29	10%	

Location of wetting

There was minor wetting to the cotton underwear present at the neck for both jackets tested.

Assessment Details.

Brand Held
Model Imola Flash
Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 20 February 2023

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University Report approved by MotoCAP Chief Scientist

Garment test reference J23T08
Rating first published July 2023
Rating updated 11 July 2023