



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand Macna Model Adept

Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 5 December 2022


Sizes tested L and XL
Test garment gender Male
Style All Purpose
RRP \$448.99

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	26.2
Abrasion	1/10	0.71
Burst	6/10	635
Impact	7/10	54.4
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	+	0.096
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	213.2
Thermal Resistance	-	0.343
Water resistance	8/10	4.8

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows and shoulders. Pockets are provided at chests and back for fitting aftermarket impact protectors. Replacing the shoulder armour with higher performing impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are zipped vents in the sides and mesh panels in the upper back to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened. Breathability was measured without the removable thermal liner installed.

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.71

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	0.70	0.60	0.68	0.66	0.70	0.95	0.71
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	0.70	0.60	0.68	0.66	0.70	0.95	0.71
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	0.70	0.60	0.68	0.66	0.70	0.95	0.71

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A Woven fabric shell with laminated mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength Performance					
Burst rating	6/10				
Burst score	635				

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Zones 1 & 2	938	544	704	346	373	507	569 M
Zones 3 & 4	511	1313	396	1121	1482	574	899 A



Impact Protection

The jacket was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating	7/10
Impact score	54.4

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder
Average force (kN)	20.1	A	21.8 A
Maximum force (kN)	23.6	A	25.2 M
Coverage of Zone 1 area	150%		130%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	100%		100%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Elbow	Shoulder				
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1	18.2	20.4	23.6	19.3	23.4	22.3
Impact Protector 2	18.0	20.6	22.1	19.8	22.9	25.2
Impact Protector 3	17.8	20.0	20.2	20.9	19.8	22.9



Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable I	With water-resistant liner			
Breathability rating	7	Breathability rating		
Breathability score	0.096	Breathability score		N/A
Moisture Vapour Resis	stance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liner	S	210.6	215.8	213.2
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance - I	R _{ct} (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liner	S	0.335	0.351	0.343
With water-resistant line	r	N/A	N/A	N/A

Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear	
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)
Jacket 1	154	12%	12	4%
Jacket 2	172	13%	15	5%
Average	163	12%	14	5%

Location of wetting

There was minor wetting to the cotton underwear present at the neck of one jacket and at the cuffs of the sleeves and neck of the other jacket tested.

Brand	Macna		
Model	Adept		
Туре	Jacket - Textile		
Date purchased	5 December 2022		
Tested by	AMCAF, Deakin University		
Report approved by	MotoCAP Chief Scientist		
Garment test reference	J21T21		
Rating first published	February 2023		
Rating updated	13 February 2023		