



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand Resurgence

Model Pekev Promodal Lite

Type Pants - Denim
Date purchased 25 May 2021
Sizes tested 36 and 38
Test garment gender Male

Style All Purpose RRP \$229.00

Test Results Summary Rating Score MotoCAP Protection Rating 25.2 \star Abrasion 3/10 2.48 Burst 10/10 1282 1/10 0.0 Impact MotoCAP Breathability Rating 0.467 *** Moisture Vapour Resistance 27.5 Thermal Resistance 0.214

N/A

N/A

Pockets are provided at the knees and hips for fitting aftermarket impact protectors. Adding knee and hip impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are no vents to allow airflow movement through the garment.

Water resistance

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.

		Removable Thermal liner Water-resista Removable Knee Hip	
Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
High risk of abrasion	High risk of abrasion	Medium risk of abrasion	Low risk of abrasion
High risk of impact			



Abrasion Resistance

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	3/10
Abrasion score	2.48

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
100%	3.34	1.48	2.31	3.68	2.56	2.32	2.62
Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
100%	3.34	1.48	2.31	3.68	2.56	2.32	2.62
Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	 Average
60%	3.34	1.48	2.31	3.68	2.56	2.32	2.62
	100% Coverage (%) 100% Coverage (%)	100% 3.34 Coverage (%) Sample 1 100% 3.34 Coverage (%) Sample 1	100% 3.34 1.48 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 100% 3.34 1.48 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2	100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3	100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 3.68 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4 100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 3.68 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4	100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 3.68 2.56 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4 Sample 5 100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 3.68 2.56 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4 Sample 5	100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 3.68 2.56 2.32 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4 Sample 5 Sample 6 100% 3.34 1.48 2.31 3.68 2.56 2.32 Coverage (%) Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4 Sample 5 Sample 6

Details of materials used in pants

Material A	Denim fabric shell, p-aramid fabric layer and mesh inner liner
Material B	Denim fabric shell



Burst Strength

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst Strength	n Performance
Burst rating	10/10

Burst rating 10/10 Burst score 1282

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	_
Zones 1 & 2	1948	1528	1267	1784	1212	870	1435	G
Zones 3 & 4	617	582	1340	609	530	350	671	M



Impact Protection

These pants was not tested for impact protection as impact protectors were not provided with the garment. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating 1/10 Impact score 0.0

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee	Hip
Average force (kN)	Р	P
Maximum force (kN)	Р	P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	0%	0%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	0%	0%

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Knee	No impact prof	tector present	Hip	No impact prot	tector present
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1						
Impact Protector 2						

Impact Protector 3



Breathability

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable I	With water-resistant liner				
Breathability rating	***	Brea	thability rating	N/A	
Breathability score	0.467	Breathability score		N/A	
Moisture Vapour Resis	stance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable liner	S	28.6	26.3	27.5	
With water-resistant line	er	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Thermal Resistance -	R _{ct} (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average	
Without removable liner	S	0.216	0.212	0.214	
With water-resistant line	er	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Water spray and rain resistance

This pants have not been advertised as water-resistant so has not been tested for water spray and rain resistance.

Assessment Details.

Brand Resurgence

Model Pekev Promodal Lite
Type Pants - Denim
Date purchased 25 May 2021

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University

Garment test reference P20D15
Rating first published August 2021
Rating updated 30 August 2021