



## This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

**Brand** Draggin Model Urban Camo Type Pants - Denim Date purchased 22 March 2021 Sizes tested 32 and 38 Test garment gender Male Style All Purpose RRP \$259.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	**	30.2
Abrasion	6/10	4.62
Burst	7/10	712
Impact	1/10	0.0
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	***	0.467
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	18.0
Thermal Resistance	-	0.140
Water resistance	N/A	N/A

This garment is not fitted with impact protectors. Pockets are provided at the knees and hips for fitting aftermarket impact protectors. Adding knee and hip impact protectors would improve the protection levels of this garment. There are no vents to allow airflow movement through the garment.

# **Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones**


This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.

		Removable Thermal line Water-resist  Removable  Knee Hip	r 🔲
Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
High risk of abrasion	High risk of abrasion	Medium risk of abrasion	Low risk of abrasion
High risk of impact	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		



#### **Abrasion Resistance**

These pants were tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



#### **Abrasion Resistance Performance**

Abrasion rating	6/10
Abrasion score	4.62

<b>Determining Criteria</b>	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zones 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

**Individual Abrasion Resistance Results:** - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

#### Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

/ toración timo	101 04011 1001 (000	, on a o						
Zones 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	100%	6.53	8.08	7.04	6.34	7.96	8.67	7.44
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average L
Material B	100%	0.48	0.14	0.34	0.58	0.34	0.48	0.39
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.48	0.14	0.34	0.58	0.34	0.48	0.39

#### Details of materials used in jacket

Material A Denim fabric shell with p-aramid inner layer

Material B Denim fabric shell



### **Burst Strength**

These pants were tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



### **Burst Strength Performance**

Burst rating	7/10
Burst score	712

<b>Determining Criteria</b>	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

**Individual Burst Strength Results:** - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

### Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Zones 1 & 2	818	823	741	815	683	639	753 M
Zones 3 & 4	494	620	592	498	589	492	547 M



#### **Impact Protection**

These pants was not tested for impact protection as impact protectors were not provided with the garment. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximium force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating 1/10 Impact score 0.0

<b>Determining Criteria</b>	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

<sup>\*</sup> Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

**Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the average and maximum force transmitted through each impact protector type in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone.

Impact protector type	Knee	Hip
Average force (kN)	Р	P
Maximum force (kN)	Р	P
Coverage of Zone 1 area	0%	0%
Coverage of Zone after displacement	0%	0%

**Individual Impact Protector Results:** - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

### Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact Protector 2
Impact Protector 3

Impact protector type	Knee	No impact prof	ector present	Hip	No impact pro	tector present
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge
Impact Protector 1						



### **Breathability**

These pants were tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable li	With	ant liner		
Breathability rating	***	Breat	N/A	
Breathability score 0.467		Brea	N/A	
Moisture Vapour Resis	tance - R <sub>et</sub> (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	3	17.7	18.3	18.0
With water-resistant lines	ſ	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thermal Resistance - R	R <sub>ct</sub> (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners	3	0.141	0.140	0.140
With water-resistant lines	r	N/A	N/A	N/A

### Water spray and rain resistance

This pants have not been advertised as water-resistant so has not been tested for water spray and rain resistance.

### **Assessment Details.**

Brand Draggin

Model Urban Camo

Type Pants - Denim

Date purchased 22 March 2021

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University

Garment test reference P20D12
Rating first published June 2021
Rating updated 17 June 2021