



This MotoCAP safety rating applies to:

Brand Richa


Model Infinity 2 Ladies
Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 25 May 2021
Sizes tested XL and 2XL
Test garment gender Female
Style All Purpose
RRP \$519.00

Test Results Summary	Rating	Score
MotoCAP Protection Rating	*	26.0
Abrasion	1/10	0.32
Burst	9/10	924
Impact	7/10	50.6
MotoCAP Breathability Rating	+	0.128
Moisture Vapour Resistance	-	129.9
Thermal Resistance	-	0.278
Water resistance	1/10	31.4

This garment is fitted with impact protectors for the elbows, shoulders and back. There are zipped vents in the chest, arms and back to allow controlled airflow movement through the garment. The breathability rating is based on tests of the garment's materials when all vents are closed. The breathability of this product may be better when the vents can be opened. This garment has a removable water-resistant liner. The breathability rating above was achieved with the thermal and water-resistant liners removed. When tested with the water resistant-liner installed, the breathability rating improved to 1 star

Jacket and Pants - Crash Impact Risk Zones

This diagram is a pictorial representation of the crash impact risk Zones.





Abrasion Resistance

The jacket was tested for abrasion resistance in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely abrasion performance of the materials in each zone calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing material in each zone.



Abrasion Resistance Performance

Abrasion rating	1/10
Abrasion score	0.32

Determining Criteria	Area	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
High abrasion risk	Zone 1 & 2	> 5.6	3.0 - 5.6	1.3 - 2.9	< 1.3
Medium abrasion risk	Zone 3	> 2.5	1.8 - 2.5	0.8 - 1.7	< 0.8
Low abrasion risk	Zone 4	>1.5	1.0 - 1.5	0.4 - 0.9	< 0.4

Individual Abrasion Resistance Results: - The table below shows the test results for time to abrade through all layers of the materials. Calculated for each sample by Zone, type and area coverage of each material as a proportion of that Zone. Abrasion times are capped at a maximum of 10.00s.

Abrasion time for each test (seconds)

Zone 1 & 2	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material A	40%	1.01	0.63	0.69	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.73
Material B	60%	0.53	0.38	0.41	0.50	0.41	0.36	0.43
Zone 3	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.53	0.38	0.41	0.50	0.41	0.36	0.43
Zone 4	Coverage (%)	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average
Material B	100%	0.53	0.38	0.41	0.50	0.41	0.36	0.43

Details of materials used in jacket

Material A	Heavy fabric shell with mesh inner liner
Material B	Woven fabric shell with mesh inner liner



Burst Strength

The jacket was tested for burst strength in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below illustrates the burst strength results in terms of the likely performance of the garment in an impact and is a pictorial representation of the data from the table below.



Burst	Strengt	h Performance

Burst rating	9/10
Burst score	924

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor
Burst strength	(kPa)	> 1000	800 - 1000	500 - 799	< 500

Individual Burst Strength Results: - The table below shows the burst pressure in kilopascals (kPA) for each sample tested by Zone and the average result for each zone.

Burst pressure for each seam (kPA)

Area	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6	Average	
Zones 1 & 2	714	649	1219	1278	889	1105	975	Α
Zones 3 & 4	742	715	825	768	550	719	720	M



Impact Protection

The jacket was tested for impact protection and coverage in accordance with MotoCAP test protocols. The diagram below is a visual indication of the likely performance of each impact protector calculated from the data in the table below. The colour coding is based on the worst performing score for average or maximum force for each impact zone. Areas shaded black are not considered for impact protection ratings.



Impact Protection Performance

Impact rating 7/10 Impact score 50.6

Determining Criteria	Unit	Good	Acceptable	Marginal	Poor*
Impact force	(kN)	< 15	15 - 24	25 - 30	> 30

^{*} Poor may also indicate that no impact protector, or impact protector pocket is present in the garment

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on each impact protector in kilonewtons (kN) and their area of coverage as a proportion (%) of the Zone. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder			
Average force (kN)	18.3	A	16.5 A			
Maximum force (kN)	19.4	A	17.5 A			
Coverage of Zone 1 area	105%		105%			
Coverage of Zone after displacement	100%		100%			

Individual Impact Protector Results: - The table below shows the test results for each strike on individual impact protectors in kilonewtons (kN) and the position of the strike. Individual strike results are capped at a maximum of 50kN.

Force transfer for each impact strike (kN)

Impact protector type	Elbow		Shoulder				
Strike location	Centre	Mid	Edge	Centre	Mid	Edge	
Impact Protector 1	17.2	17.7	17.5	16.6	16.0	16.3	
Impact Protector 2	18.8	17.2	19.1	17.5	15.3	17.0	
Impact Protector 3	18.8	19.1	19.4	16.8	16.1	16.8	



Breathability

The jacket was tested for breathability following the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the moisture vapour resistance and the thermal resistance values obtained.

Without removable lin	ers	With water-resistant liner		
Breathability rating		Breathability rating		*
Breathability score	0.128	Breat	thability score	0.161
Moisture Vapour Resista	ance - R _{et} (kPa.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners		132.9	126.9	129.9
With water-resistant liner		151.5	161.4	156.5
Thermal Resistance - R _c	t (K.m²/W)	1	2	Average
Without removable liners		0.275	0.281	0.278
With water-resistant liner		0.410	0.427	0.419

Water spray and rain resistance

This jacket is advertised as water-resistant, and so has been tested for water spray and rain resistance according to the MotoCAP test protocols. The table below shows the water absorbed (ml) and the wetting proportion (%) of the garment and undergarments due to water absorption.

	Water absorbed by garment		Water absorbed by underwear		
	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	Volume (ml)	Percentage (%)	
Jacket 1	237	16%	128	45%	
Jacket 2	167	11%	50	18%	
Average	202	14%	89	31%	

Location of wetting

There was major wetting to the cotton underwear present at the neck and chest for both jackets tested.

Assessn	nent	Detai	ilc
MODESSII	ILCIIC	DELAI	пэ.

Brand Richa

Model Infinity 2 Ladies
Type Jacket - Textile
Date purchased 25 May 2021

Tested by AMCAF, Deakin University

Garment test reference J20T22
Rating first published August 2021
Rating updated 30 August 2021